

MILNOR K -THEORY AND THE BLOCH-GABBER-KATO THEOREM

KENTA SUZUKI

ABSTRACT. An exposition on the proof of Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem, relating Milnor K -theory and the module of differentials, mostly following [GS17].

CONTENTS

1. Short review of Milnor K -theory	1
1.1. The norm map	3
2. Background on differentials in characteristic p	3
2.1. Statement of the Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem	4
3. A digression—the motivic story	5
3.1. The norm residue theorem	5
4. The proof of Jacobson and Cartier’s theorem	5
5. Surjectivity of the differential symbol	7
6. Injectivity of the differential symbol	9
References	11

1. SHORT REVIEW OF MILNOR K -THEORY

Milnor K -theory plays a central role in number theory:

Definition 1 (Milnor K -theory [Mil70]). For a field F , define the graded ring

$$K_*^M(F) := \mathbb{Z} \oplus F^\times \oplus (F^\times)^{\otimes 2} \oplus \cdots / \langle a \otimes (1 - a) : a \neq 0, 1 \in F^\times \rangle.$$

The relations $a \otimes (1 - a) = 0$ are called the *Steinberg relations*. Let $K_j^M(F)$ be the j -th graded piece of the ring. The element $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_j \in K_*^M(F)$ is denoted as $\{a_1, \dots, a_j\}$.

We will mainly be interested in Milnor K -theory when F has positive characteristic.

Example 1.1. $K_0^M(F) = \mathbb{Z}$, $K_1^M(F) = F^\times$, since there are no relations in degrees 0 and 1.

Example 1.2 ([Mil70, Example 1.5]). If $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, then $K_\bullet^M(F) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus F^\times$, i.e., $K_2^M(F) = 0$. Indeed, F^\times is cyclic of order $q - 1$, so it has $\varphi(q - 1) \geq \frac{q-1}{2}$ multiplicative generators, where φ is Euler’s totient function. Thus, by the pigeonhole principle the two subsets of the size $q - 2$ set $F \setminus \{0, 1\}$

$$\{g : g \in F^\times \text{ is a generator}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{1 - g : g \in F^\times \text{ is a generator}\}$$

intersect, and hence $g_1 = 1 - g_2$ for some generators $g_1, g_2 \in F^\times$. But then $g_1 \otimes g_2 = 1$ by the Steinberg relation, so $K_2^M(F) = 0$.

Milnor K -theory has some functoriality properties:

Proposition-Definition 1 ([Mil70, Lemma 2.1],[GS17, Prop 7.1.4]). Let F be a field with a discrete valuation v with residue class field k . There exists a unique homomorphism $\partial = \partial_v$, called the *boundary homomorphism* from $K_n F$ to $K_{n-1} k$ such that

$$\partial_v(\{\pi, u_2, \dots, u_n\}) = \{\bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_n\}$$

for every prime element π , i.e., $\text{ord}_v \pi = 1$ and for all units u_2, \dots, u_n .

Moreover, once a prime element π is fixed, there is a unique homomorphism $s_\pi^M : K_n^M(F) \rightarrow K_n^M(k)$, called *specialization*, with the property

$$s_\pi^M(\{\pi^{i_1} u_1, \dots, \pi^{i_n} u_n\}) = \{\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n\},$$

for all integers i_1, \dots, i_n and units u_1, \dots, u_n .

Remark 1. In particular, if u_1, \dots, u_n are units, then $\partial_v(\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}) = 1$, since

$$\partial_v(\{\pi, u_2, \dots, u_n\}) = \partial_v(\{\pi u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}),$$

where both π and πu_1 are prime.

The residue map allows for the following proposition, which assists in many computations of Milnor K -theory:

Proposition 1.3 ([Mil70, Thm 2.3]). *There is a split exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow K_n^M(F) \rightarrow K_n^M(F(t)) \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_\pi} \bigoplus K_{n-1}^M(F[t]/\pi) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the direct sum runs over all monic irreducible polynomials $\pi \in F[t]$.

Example 1.4. If $F = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is the field of rational functions over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , then

$$K_n^M(F) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & n = 0 \\ F^\times & n = 1 \\ \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]} (\mathbb{F}_q[t]/\pi)^\times & n = 2 \\ 0 & n > 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\pi \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ runs through the monic irreducible polynomials. Indeed, by Proposition 1.3 there are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow K_2(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0 \rightarrow K_2(F) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]} K_1(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/\pi) = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]} (\mathbb{F}_q[t]/\pi)^\times \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow K_3(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0 \rightarrow K_3(F) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]} K_2(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/\pi) = 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Milnor K -theory is closely related to cycles, and is ‘‘motivic’’ in nature:

Proposition 1.5 ([NS89, Thm 4.9]). *For any field F , there is a natural isomorphism $\text{CH}^j(K, j) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_j^M(F)$.*

When F has characteristic p , the ring $K_\bullet^M(F)$ is also closely related to differentials on F .

1.1. **The norm map.** Let F'/F be a finite extension. Then [GS17, Section 7.3] constructs a family of maps $N_{F'/F}: K_n^M(F') \rightarrow K_n^M(F)$ such that:

- (1) the map $N_{F'/F}: K_0^M(F') \rightarrow K_0^M(F)$ is multiplication by $[F' : F]$;
- (2) the map $N_{F'/F}: K_1^M(F') \rightarrow K_1^M(F)$ is multiplication by the field norm $N_{F'/F}(a) = \det_F(F' \xrightarrow{m_a} F')$;
- (3) for $\alpha \in K_n^M(F)$ and $\beta \in K_m^K(F')$,

$$N_{F'/F}(\{\alpha_{F'}, \beta\}) = \{\alpha, N_{F'/F}(\beta)\},$$

where α_F is the image of α under the natural homomorphism $K_{\bullet}^M(F) \rightarrow K_{\bullet}^M(F')$; and

- (4) for a tower of field extensions $F''/F'/F$,

$$N_{F''/F} = N_{F'/F} \circ N_{F''/F'}.$$

2. BACKGROUND ON DIFFERENTIALS IN CHARACTERISTIC p

For any F -vector space V , let pV denote an alternative F -vector space structure on V , given by $a \cdot w := a^p w$ for any $a \in F$ and $w \in V$.

Consider the module $\Omega_F^n := \Omega_{F/\mathbb{Z}}^n$ of absolute differentials over F . There is a chain complex

$$\Omega_F^{\bullet} := [\Omega_F^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} \Omega_F^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \Omega_F^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} \cdots],$$

and let $B_F^n := \text{im}(d^{n-1})$ be the n -cocycles, and let $Z_F^n := \ker(d^n)$ be the n -coboundaries. We may define the cohomology $H^n(\Omega_F^{\bullet}) := Z_F^n/B_F^n$.

Proposition 2.1 (Cartier, [GS17, Thm 9.4.3]). *There is an isomorphism*

$$\gamma: \Omega_F^n \rightarrow {}^pH^n(\Omega_F^{\bullet}),$$

defined by $\gamma(da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_n) := a_1^{p-1} da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n^{p-1} da_n$, where $a_i \in F$.

Let

$$\nu(n)_F := \ker(\gamma - \text{id}: \Omega_F^n \rightarrow \Omega_F^n/B_F^n).$$

Example 2.2. If $F = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/f(x)$ is a finite field, where $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is irreducible, we have

$$\Omega_F^1 \cong Fdx/f'(x)dx \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f(x), f'(x)) = 0.$$

In particular $\nu(n)_F = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

Example 2.3. If $F = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is the field of rational functions on the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , we have $\Omega_F^1 = Fdt$, hence $\Omega_F^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Thus, $\nu(n)_F = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. For $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(1)_F &= \{f(t)dt \in Fdt : f(t)^p t^{p-1} dt \equiv f(t)dt \pmod{B}\} \\ &= \{f(t)dt \in Fdt : f(t)^p t^{p-1} - f(t) = g'(t), \text{ for some } g \in F\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now define

$$\begin{aligned} d \log: (F^{\times})^{\otimes n} &\rightarrow \nu(n)_F \\ a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n &\mapsto a_1^{-1} da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n^{-1} da_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here $d \log$ a priori only maps into Ω_F^n , but its image lies in $\nu(n)_F$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(a_1^{-1} da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n^{-1} da_n) &= a_1^{-p} a_1^{p-1} da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n^{-p} a_n^{p-1} da_n \\ &= a_1^{-1} da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n^{-1} da_n. \end{aligned}$$

This gives a ring homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Tens}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^\times) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus F^\times \oplus (F^\times)^{\otimes 2} \oplus \cdots \rightarrow \nu(\bullet)_F.$$

In fact, $d \log$ factors through Milnor K -theory:

Lemma 2.4 ([GS17, Lem 9.5.1]). *The map $d \log$ factors through the quotient $(F^\times)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow K_n^M(F)/p$. It thus defines a graded ring homomorphism $\psi_F: K_\bullet^M(F)/p \rightarrow \nu(\bullet)_F$, sending $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ to $a_1^{-1} da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n^{-1} da_n$ for every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$.*

Proof. We have $p\nu(n)_F \subset p\Omega_F^n = 0$, since $pda = d(pa) = 0$. Thus it suffices to check the Steinberg relations. For $a \neq 0, 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d \log(a \otimes (1 - a)) &= d \log(a) \wedge d \log(1 - a) \\ &= \frac{1}{a(1 - a)} da \wedge d(1 - a) \\ &= -\frac{1}{a(1 - a)} da \wedge da \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

2.1. Statement of the Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem. The following theorem relates the a priori very distinct objects K_n^M and $\nu(n)$:

Theorem 2.5 (Bloch-Gabber-Kato theorem [GS17, Thm 9.5.2]). *Let F be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. The following is an isomorphism:*

$$\psi_F: K_\bullet^M(F)/p \rightarrow \nu(\bullet)_F.$$

Example 2.6. When F is a finite field, this is clear by Examples 1.2 and 2.2. More generally, for perfect fields $F = F^p$ clearly $K_n^M(F) = 0$ and $\nu(n)_F = 0$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Example 2.7. Let $F = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$. Then Example 2.3 gives a description of $\nu(1)_F$, and Theorem 2.5 claims an isomorphism, on the 1-st graded piece,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_F: F^\times / (F^\times)^p &\rightarrow \{f(t) \in F : f(t)^p t^{p-1} - f(t) = g'(t) \text{ for some } g \in F\}. \\ u &\mapsto \frac{u'}{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Injectivity is clear, since $u'/u = 0$ implies $u' = 0$, so $u \in F^p = \mathbb{F}_q(t^p)$.

When $n = 1$, Theorem 2.5 is:

Theorem 2.8 (Jacobson and Cartier, [GS17, Thm 9.2.2]). *For every field F of characteristic $p > 0$, the sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow F^\times \xrightarrow{p} F^\times \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_F \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} {}^p\Omega_F^1/p B_F^1$$

is exact.

In fact, Jacobson and Cartier's theorem is a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.5. We present a proof in Section 4

As a first step to prove Theorem 2.5, we have the following functoriality property:

Lemma 2.9 ([GS17, Lem 9.5.4]). *Given a finite separable extension F'/F , the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\bullet}^M(F')/p & \xrightarrow{\psi_{F'}} & \nu(\bullet)_{F'} \\ N_{F'/F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{tr} \\ K_{\bullet}^M(F)/p & \xrightarrow{\psi_F} & \nu(\bullet)_F \end{array}$$

commutes. Here, the homomorphism $\text{tr}: \Omega_{F'}^n \rightarrow \Omega_F^n$ is given by the composition

$$\Omega_{F'}^n \cong F' \otimes_F \Omega_F^n \xrightarrow{\text{tr} \otimes 1} F \otimes_F \Omega_F^n = \Omega_F^n.$$

Remark 2. The lemma allows us to reduce Theorem 2.5 to finitely-generated extensions F/\mathbb{F}_p , since any field F can be written as a colimit of finitely-generated extensions.

3. A DIGRESSION—THE MOTIVIC STORY

Since Theorem 2.5 describes $K_{\bullet}^M(F)/p$ when F has characteristic p , we also mention the story of Milnor K -theory away from the characteristic of p , i.e., $K_{\bullet}^M(F)/\ell$ where $\ell \neq 0 \in F$.

3.1. The norm residue theorem. Let F be a field and $\ell > 0$ be an integer such that $\ell \in F^\times$. The short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_\ell \rightarrow \overline{F}^\times \xrightarrow{\ell} \overline{F}^\times \rightarrow 1$$

where \overline{F} is the separable closure of F gives rise to the isomorphism $F^\times/\ell \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(F, \mu_\ell)$. By cup products, we have a homomorphism $\partial: (F^\times)^{\otimes q}/\ell \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^q(F, \mu_\ell^{\otimes q})$. Moreover, since $\partial(x \otimes (1-x)) = 0$ for any $x \neq 0, 1$, we obtain a homomorphism $K_q^M(F)/\ell \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^q(F, \mu_\ell^{\otimes q})$.

Theorem 3.1 (Norm residue theorem, Voevodsky). *For any field F and an integer $\ell \in F$ invertible, $\partial: K_q^M(F)/\ell \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^q(F, \mu_\ell^{\otimes q})$ defined above is an isomorphism.*

In fact, given a smooth scheme X/F , there is an object $\mathbb{Z}(j)_X^{\text{ét}} \in D(X_{\text{ét}})$ which interpolates between these two objects, i.e., with the properties:

- $\mathbb{Z}(j)_X^{\text{ét}}/\ell^r \cong \mu_\ell^{\otimes r}$ when $1/\ell \in \mathcal{O}_X$; and
- $\mathbb{Z}(j)_X^{\text{ét}}/p^r \cong W_r \Omega_{\log}^j[-j]$ when $p = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

4. THE PROOF OF JACOBSON AND CARTIER'S THEOREM

We will follow the proof in [GS17, §9.3], due to Katz.

Definition 2. A *connection* on a finite dimensional F -vector space V is a homomorphism $\nabla: V \rightarrow \Omega_F^1 \otimes_F V$ such that

$$\nabla(av) = a\nabla(v) + da \otimes v$$

for all $a \in F$ and $v \in V$.

Example 4.1. The main tool here will be to study, for a differential form $\omega \in \Omega_F^1$, the map $\nabla_\omega: F \rightarrow \Omega_F^1$ defined by

$$\nabla_\omega(a) := da + a\omega.$$

Indeed, for any $a, b \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\omega(ab) &= d(ab) + ab\omega \\ &= a(db + b\omega) + da \cdot b \\ &= a\nabla_\omega(b) + da \cdot b.\end{aligned}$$

Notably, a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega_F^1$ is logarithmic (i.e., in the image of $d\log: F^\times \rightarrow \Omega_F^1$) if and only if $\nabla_\omega(a) = da + a\omega = 0$ for some $a \in F^\times$.

A connection ∇ gives rise to a F -linear map $\nabla_*: \text{Der}_{F^p}(F) \rightarrow \text{End}_{F^p}(V)$ sending a derivation V to the composition

$$V \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_F^1 \otimes_F V \xrightarrow{D \otimes \text{id}} F \otimes_F V \cong V.$$

where the F -derivation $D: F \rightarrow F$ is identified with a homomorphism $\Omega_F^1 \rightarrow F$ via the universal property.

Remark 3. Although ∇_* is F -linear, the element ∇_*D is only F^p -linear in general. For $a \in F$ and $v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_*D(av) &= D \otimes \text{id}(a\nabla(v) + da \otimes v) \\ &= aD \otimes \text{id}(\nabla(v)) + D(a)v \\ &= a\nabla_*D(v) + D(a)v.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $D(da) = D(a)$ since we abuse notation by calling both the differential $K \rightarrow K$ and the homomorphism $\Omega_K^1 \rightarrow K$ as D . Here if $a = b^p$ for some $b \in F$ then $D(a) = pb^{p-1}D(b) = 0$, showing linearity.

Example 4.2. For the connection ∇_ω ,

$$\nabla_{\omega*}D(a) = D(da + a\omega) = D(a) + aD(\omega).$$

Recall that the F -vector space $\text{End}_{F^p}(V)$ has two natural operations:

- the Lie bracket $[\phi, \psi] := \phi \circ \psi - \psi \circ \phi$; and
- the p -th iterate $\phi^{\circ p}$.

The subspace $\text{Der}(F)$ is stable under both of these operations. Indeed, for any derivation $D \in \text{Der}(F)$,

$$D^{\circ p}(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} D^{\circ i} a D^{\circ(p-i)} b = D^{\circ p} ab + a D^{\circ p} b.$$

Thus, it is a natural condition for a connection to require that the map ∇_* respect these operations on $\text{Der}(F)$ and $\text{End}(V)$:

Definition 3. The connection ∇ is *flat* if

$$\nabla_*[D_1, D_2] = [\nabla_*D_1, \nabla_*D_2]$$

for all $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}(K)$ and ∇ is a p -connection if $\nabla_*(D^{\circ p}) = (\nabla_*D)^{\circ p}$ for all $D \in \text{Der}(K)$.

Remark 4. This definition is completely analogous to flat connections in differential geometry. A connection of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a \mathbb{R} -linear map $\nabla: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M \otimes E)$ satisfying the product rule, and it is *flat* if the curvature

$$F_\nabla(X, Y)(s) := \nabla_X \nabla_Y s - \nabla_Y \nabla_X s - \nabla_{[X, Y]} s$$

disappears everywhere.

We have:

Theorem 4.3 ([GS17, Thm 9.3.3]). *The following are equivalent for a differential form $\omega \in \Omega_F^1$:*

- (1) ω is logarithmic
- (2) $\omega \in \nu(1)_F$
- (3) The connection ∇_ω is a flat p -connection.

Proof. That (1) implies (2) is clear.

We will check (2) implies (3). Denoting L_a for multiplication by a , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_{\omega^*} D_1, \nabla_{\omega^*} D_2] &= [D_1 D_2] + [D_1 L_{D_2 \omega}] - [D_2 L_{D_1 \omega}] - [L_{D_1 \omega} L_{D_2 \omega}] \\ &= [D_1 D_2] + L_{D_1 D_2 \omega} - L_{D_2 D_1 \omega} \\ &= \nabla_{\omega^*} [D_1 D_2] - L_{[D_1 D_2] \omega} + L_{D_1 D_2 \omega} - L_{D_2 D_1 \omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is since $D \in \text{Der}(F)$ if and only if $[D, L_a] = L_{D a}$ for all $a \in F$. Here, I claim that for all derivations $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}(F)$ and all $\omega \in \Omega_F^1$,

$$(4.1) \quad (D_1 \wedge D_2)(d\omega) = D_1 D_2 \omega - D_2 D_1 \omega - [D_1 D_2] \omega.$$

Given this claim, $L_{[D_1 D_2] \omega} + L_{D_1 D_2 \omega} - L_{D_2 D_1 \omega} = -L_{(D_1 \wedge D_2)(d\omega)} = 0$ since $d\omega = 0$, so we are done.

Now, to check (4.1) it suffice to look at $\omega = adb$ with $a, b \in F$, in which case

$$\begin{aligned} (D_1 \wedge D_2)(d\omega) &= D_1(a)D_2(b) - D_2(a)D_1(b) \\ &= D_1 D_2(adb) - D_2 D_1(adb) - [D_1 D_2](adb) \\ &= D_1 D_2 \omega - D_2 D_1 \omega - [D_1 D_2] \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Proving ∇_ω is a p -connection is similar.

Finally, we check (3) implies (1). It suffices to prove this for F/F^p a finite extension. We use the following lemma:

Lemma 4.4 ([GS17, Thm 9.3.6]). *Let F/E be a finite extension with $F^p \subset E$, and let V be a K -vector space equipped with a flat p -connection ∇ . Then setting $V^\nabla := \{v \in V : \nabla(v) = 0\}$, the natural map*

$$F \otimes_E V^\nabla \rightarrow V$$

is an isomorphism.

Applying this theorem to $V = F$ we obtain a nonzero vector $v \in F$ such that $\nabla_\omega(v) = 0$, so that $\omega = -d \log(v)$. \square

5. SURJECTIVITY OF THE DIFFERENTIAL SYMBOL

The goal of this section is to prove:

Proposition 5.1 (Surjectivity of ψ_F [GS17, Thm 9.6.1]). *Let F be finitely-generated over \mathbb{F}_p . The group $\nu(n)_F$ is additively generated by the elements of the form $a_1^{-1} da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n^{-1} da_n$.*

We first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2 ([GS17, Prop 9.6.3]). *Let F/F^p be a purely inseparable extension of degree p . Then for any F -linear map $g: F \rightarrow F^p$, there exists a finite extension E/F^p of degree prime to p such that the induced map $g': EF \rightarrow E$ satisfies: there exists $c \in EF^\times$ such that $g(c^i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.*

Proof. The F^p -subspaces $\ker(g) \subset F$ and $dF \subset \Omega_{F/F^p}^1$ both have codimension 1 (by Proposition 2.1), so there is a F -isomorphism $\phi: F \rightarrow \Omega_{F/F^p}^1$ taking $\ker(g)$ to dF . Let $\omega = a(db/b) \in \Omega_{F/F^p}^1 \setminus dF$, with $a, b \in F^\times$. Now $\Omega_{F/F^p}^1/dF$ is a one-dimensional F^p -vector space, so there exists a $\rho \in (F^p)^\times$ such that

$$a^p \frac{db}{b} \in \rho a \frac{db}{b} + dF.$$

Let $E = F^p(u)$ with $u^{p-1} = \rho$. Now, in $\Omega_{F/E}^1$,

$$(u^{-1}a)^p \frac{db}{b} \in u^{-1}a \frac{db}{b} + d(FE),$$

i.e., $u^{-1}\omega \in \nu(1)_F$. Thus by Theorem 2.8 there is a $y \in F^\times$ with $u^{-1}\omega = dy/y$. Now, the following are equivalent:

- $g(x) = 0$;
- $xa \in \ker(g)$;
- $x dy/y \in dF$ for all $x \in F$

Moreover, the elements $y^i dy$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ span dF . Thus $c = y$ works. \square

Now, consider $F^p \subset E \subset F$ and suppose F/E has degree p^r , with a p -basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$, so $d \log b_i$ forms a K -basis for $\Omega_{F/E}^1$. Let $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ n \end{bmatrix}$ denote the set of strictly increasing functions from $\{1, \dots, n\}$ to $\{1, \dots, r\}$, and for each $s \in \begin{bmatrix} r \\ n \end{bmatrix}$ set

$$\omega_s := d \log b_{s(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \log b_{s(n)},$$

which forms a F -basis for $\Omega_{F/E}^n$. Now we may define a filtration on $\Omega_{F/E}^n$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{F/E, < s}^n &:= F\{\omega_s : s \in \begin{bmatrix} r \\ n \end{bmatrix}\} \\ B_{F/E, < s}^n &:= d\Omega_{F/E, < s}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the lexicographic ordering on $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ n \end{bmatrix}$, we have $s < s'$ then $\Omega_{F/E, < s}^n \subset \Omega_{F/E, s'}^n$.

Now, we have a “filtered version” of Proposition 5.1:

Proposition 5.3 ([GS17, Prop 9.6.5]). *Let F/E be a finite extension of degree p^r , as above. Fix $s \in \begin{bmatrix} r \\ n \end{bmatrix}$ and assume $a \in F$ satisfies*

$$(\gamma - 1)(a\omega_s) = (a^p - a)\omega_s \in \Omega_{F/E, < s}^n + B_{F/E}^n.$$

(recall that $B_{F/E}^n$ is only a E -vector space.) Then, for some finite extension F'/F of degree coprime to p ,

$$a\omega_s \in \Omega_{F'/E, < s}^n + \text{Im}(d \log).$$

Proof. The proof is quite technical. \square

Given Proposition 5.3 we can prove Proposition 5.1:

Proof of Proposition 5.1. F/F^p is a finite extension. Assume $d \log$ is not surjective. Then since

$$\Omega_F^n = \sum_{s \in \begin{bmatrix} r \\ n \end{bmatrix}} \Omega_{F, < s}^n,$$

we may pick a minimal $s = s(F)$ such that there exists a $\omega \in \nu(n)_F$ not in the image of $d \log$ such that $\omega = \omega' + \eta$ with $\omega' \in \Omega_{F, \leq s}^n$ and $\eta \in B_F^n$. Now $\Omega_{F, \leq s}^n = \Omega_{F, < s}^n + F\omega_s$ so $\omega' = a\omega_s + \omega''$ for some $a \in F$ and $\omega'' \in \Omega_{F, < s}^n$.

Now $a\omega_s = \omega - \eta - \omega''$, so

$$(\gamma - 1)(a\omega_s) = (\gamma - 1)\omega - (\gamma - 1)\eta - (\gamma - 1)\omega'' \in \Omega_{F, < s}^n + B_F^n,$$

since $(\gamma - 1)\eta, (\gamma - 1)\omega \in B_F^n$ and $(\gamma - 1)\omega'' \in \Omega_{F, < s}^n$.

By Proposition 5.3 there is a finite extension F'/F of degree coprime to p such that $a\omega_s \in \Omega_{F'}^n + \text{Im}(d \log)$.

The argument shows that $s(F') < s(F)$. Thus, eventually $s(F)$ will not exist, and there exists some extension \tilde{F}/F of degree coprime to p for which $\psi_{F'}^n: K_n^M(F')/p \rightarrow \nu(n)_{F'}$ is surjective. Now, in the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^M(F)/p & \xrightarrow{\psi_F} & \nu(n)_F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_n^M(F')/p & \xrightarrow{\psi_{F'}} & \nu(n)_{F'} \\ N_{F'/F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{tr} \\ K_n^M(F)/p & \xrightarrow{\psi_F} & \nu(n)_F \end{array}$$

The vertical composition $\nu(n)_F \rightarrow \nu(n)_{F'} \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \nu(n)_F$ is $[F' : F]$, which is an isomorphism since $\nu(n)$ is p -torsion. Thus, tr is surjective, and since $\psi_{F'}$ is surjective, the homomorphism ψ_F must be surjective as well. \square

6. INJECTIVITY OF THE DIFFERENTIAL SYMBOL

We hope to prove:

Theorem 6.1 (Injectivity of ψ_F^n [GS17, Thm 9.7.1]). *For all finitely generated extensions F/\mathbb{F}_p , the differential symbol $\psi_F^n: K_n^M(F)/p \rightarrow \nu(n)_F$ is injective.*

The first step is to use Proposition 1.3 to allow for induction on the transcendence degree:

Lemma 6.2 ([GS17, Prop 9.7.2]). *Assume that ψ_F^n and ψ_E^{n-1} are injective, for any finite extension E/F . Then so is $\psi_{F(t)}^n$.*

Proof. There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(F)/p & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(F(t))/p & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{P \in (\mathbb{A}_F^1)_0} K_{n-1}^M(\kappa(P))/p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_F^n & & \downarrow \psi_{F(t)}^n & & \downarrow \bigoplus i_P \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_f} & \Omega_{F[t]}^n & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{F(t)}^n & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{P \in (\mathbb{A}_F^1)_0} \Omega_{F(t)}^n / \Omega_{F[t]_P}^n. \end{array}$$

Here, i_P is the composite of $\psi_{\kappa(P)}^{n-1}$ with the map $j_P: \Omega_{\kappa(P)}^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{F(t)}^n / \Omega_{F[t]_P}^n$ given by

$$j_P(x_0 dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1}) = \tilde{x}_0 d\tilde{x}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{x}_{n-1} \wedge \pi_P^{-1} d\pi_P$$

where $P = (\pi_P) \subset F[t]$ and the \tilde{x}_i are arbitrary lifts of $x_i \in \kappa(P)$ to $F[t]_P$.

Thus, it suffices to check the injectivity of i_P , which reduces to the injectivity of j_P .

The module $\Omega_{F[t]_P}^1$ is a free $F[t]_P$ -module on a basis consisting of $d\pi_P$ and some other elements da_i . Thus, $\Omega_{F[t]_P}^n$ has a basis consisting of n -fold exterior products of these forms. Hence the relation $\tilde{\omega} \wedge \pi_P^{-1} d\pi_P = 0$ can hold only for a lift $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{F[t]_P}^n$ of $\omega \in \Omega_{\kappa(P)}^n$ if $\tilde{\omega}$ is a linear combination of basis elements involving $d\pi_P$. But then the image of ω in $\Omega_{\kappa(P)}^{n-1}$ is 0, as desired. \square

To prove Theorem 6.1, we proceed by induction on n , the case $n = 0$ being obvious. Let d be the transcendence degree of F/\mathbb{F}_p . Then there exists a scheme-theoretic point of codimension 1 (i.e., a divisor) on the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{d+1}$ whose local ring R has residue field isomorphic to F . Let us define:

Definition 4. Let \tilde{F} be a fractional field of R , and M its maximal ideal. Let $K_n^M(R)/p$ be the kernel of the residue map $\partial_M: K_n(\tilde{F})/p \rightarrow K_{n-1}^M(F)/p$. The analogous construction on the differential side is

$$\nu(n)_R := \ker(\Omega_R^n \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \Omega_R^n/B_R^n).$$

Now the differential symbol ψ_F^n restricts to a homomorphism $\psi_R^n: K_n^M(R)/p \rightarrow \nu(n)_R$.

Denote by $K_n^M(R, M)/p$ the kernel of the specialization map $s_R^M: K_n^M(R) \rightarrow K_n^M(F)$, which is independent of the choice of the prime element, and by $\nu(n)_{R, M}$ the kernel of the reduction map $\rho_R: \nu(n)_R \rightarrow \nu(n)_F$. Then ψ_R^n restricts further to a map $\psi_{R, M}^n: K_n^M(R, M)/p \rightarrow \nu(n)_{R, M}$.

Lemma 6.3. *With notations as above, assume that the differential symbol*

$$\psi_{R, M}^n: K_n^M(R, M)/p \rightarrow \nu(n)_{R, M}$$

is surjective. Then the symbol ψ_F^n is injective.

Proof. We have the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(R, M)/p & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(R)/p & \longrightarrow & K_n^M(F)/p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_{R, M}^n & & \downarrow \psi_R^n & & \downarrow \psi_F^n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \nu(n)_{R, M} & \longrightarrow & \nu(n)_R & \longrightarrow & \nu(n)_F. \end{array}$$

\square

Thus to prove Theorem 6.1 it suffices to prove the surjectivity of $\psi_{R, M}^n$.

Definition 5. If R is a semi-local Dedekind ring with field of fractions \tilde{F} and maximal ideals M_1, \dots, M_r , denote its Jacobson radical by $I := M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_r$. By the Chinese remainder theorem $R/I \cong R/M_1 \times \dots \times R/M_r$, a direct product of fields. Therefore, we may define

$$K_n^M(R/I) := K_n^M(R/M_1) \oplus \dots \oplus K_n^M(R/M_r).$$

Let $K_n^M(R)/p \subset K_n^M(\tilde{F})/p$ be the kernel of $\oplus \partial_{M_i}: K_n^M(\tilde{F})/p \rightarrow K_n^M(R/I)/p$.

The group $K_n^M(R, I)/p$ is the kernel of

$$\oplus s_R^{M_i}: K_n^M(R)/p \rightarrow \bigoplus K_n^M(R/M_i)/p = K_n^M(R/I)/p.$$

As in the case for local rings, ψ_F^n restricts to a homomorphism $\psi_R^n: K_n^M(R)/p \rightarrow \nu(n)_R$, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^M(R)/p & \xrightarrow{\oplus s_R^{M_i}} & K_n^M(R/I)/p = \bigoplus K_n^M(R/M_i)/p \\ \downarrow \psi_R^n & & \downarrow \oplus \psi_{R/M_i}^n \\ \nu(n)_R & \longrightarrow & \nu(n)_{R/I} = \bigoplus \nu(n)_{R/M_i}. \end{array}$$

Thus, it restricts to a homomorphism $\psi_{R,I}^n: K_n(R, I)/p \rightarrow \nu(n)_{R,I}$, where $\nu(n)_{R,I}$ is the kernel of the bottom map $\nu(n)_R \rightarrow \nu(n)_{R/I}$.

Thus, the statement to be proven is:

Proposition 6.4 ([GS17, Prop 9.7.6]). *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and R a semi-local Dedekind domain which is obtained as a localization of a finitely-generated k -algebra. Then the differential symbol*

$$\psi_{R,I}^n: K_n^M(R, I) \rightarrow \nu(n)_{R,I}$$

is surjective.

The proof follows a similar strategy as the proof of Proposition 5.1, using the integral version of Theorem 2.8 to prove the injectivity of ψ_F^n :

Corollary 6.5 ([GS17, Lemma 9.7.9]). *Let $R \supset T \supset R^p$ be an extension of semi-local Dedekind rings which arise as localizations of finitely generated algebras over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that the arising extension F/F_0 of fraction field is finite. Then the sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow R^\times/T^\times \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_{R/T}^1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_R - 1} \Omega_{R/T}^1/B_{R/T}^1$$

is exact.

REFERENCES

- [GS17] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, 2nd revised and updated edition ed., Camb. Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 165, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017 (English).
- [Mil70] John W. Milnor, *Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms. With an appendix by J. Tate*, Invent. Math. **9** (1970), 318–344 (English).
- [NS89] Yu. P. Nesterenko and A. A. Suslin, *Homology of the general linear group over a local ring and the Milnor K-theory*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), no. 1, 121–146 (Russian).